

**International
Comparative
Legal Guides**



Practical cross-border insights into data protection law

**Data Protection
2022**

Ninth Edition

Contributing Editors:

**Tim Hickman & Dr. Detlev Gabel
White & Case LLP**

ICLG.com

Expert Analysis Chapters

- 1** **The Rapid Evolution of Data Protection Laws**
Tim Hickman & Dr. Detlev Gabel, White & Case LLP
- 7** **Data Breach Response Strategy**
Daniela Fábíán Masoch, FABIAN PRIVACY LEGAL GmbH
- 12** **Initiatives to Boost Data Business in Japan**
Takashi Nakazaki, Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune
- 19** **Brave New (Virtual) World**
Jenny L. Colgate & Caitlin M. Wilmot, Rothwell Figg
- 25** **Privacy Risks in M&A**
Kelly Hagedorn, Julia Apostle, Dr. Christian Schröder & Colette Deamer
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
- 31** **“Selling” or “Sharing” Personal Information Under California Law**
Paul Lanois, Fieldfisher

Q&A Chapters

- 35** **Australia**
MinterEllison: Anthony Borgese, Helen Cheung,
Zoe Zhang & Tony Issa
- 49** **Belgium**
Sirius Legal: Bart Van den Brande
- 61** **Brazil**
ASBZ Advogados: Luiza Sato, Guilherme Braguim,
Igor Baden Powell & Geórgia Costa
- 71** **Canada**
McMillan LLP: Lyndsay A. Wasser &
Kristen Pennington
- 84** **China**
King & Wood Mallesons: Susan Ning & Han Wu
- 97** **Denmark**
Lund Elmer Sandager: Torsten Hylleberg,
Emilie Ipsen & Anders Linde Reislev
- 108** **France**
White & Case LLP: Clara Hainsdorf & Bertrand Liard
- 118** **Germany**
Noerr Partnerschaftsgesellschaft mbB:
Daniel Ruecker, Julian Monschke,
Pascal Schumacher & Korbinian Hartl
- 127** **Greece**
Nikolinakos & Partners Law Firm:
Dr. Nikos Th. Nikolinakos, Dina Th. Kouvelou &
Alexis N. Spyropoulos
- 139** **India**
Khaitan & Co LLP: Harsh Walia &
Supratim Chakraborty
- 150** **Indonesia**
H & A Partners in association with Anderson
Mōri & Tomotsune: Steffen Hadi, Sianti Candra &
Dimas Andri Himawan
- 162** **Isle of Man**
DQ Advocates Limited: Kathryn Sharman &
Sinead O'Connor
- 172** **Israel**
Naschitz, Brandes, Amir & Co., Advocates:
Dalit Ben-Israel & Maya Peleg
- 187** **Italy**
FTCC Studio Legale Associato: Pierluigi Cottafavi &
Santina Parrello
- 198** **Japan**
Mori Hamada & Matsumoto: Hiromi Hayashi &
Masaki Yukawa
- 210** **Korea**
D'LIGHT Law Group: Iris Hyejin Hwang & Hye In Lee
- 220** **Mexico**
OLIVARES: Abraham Diaz Arceo, Gustavo Alcocer &
Carla Huitron
- 229** **Nigeria**
Udo Udoma and Belo-Osagie: Jumoke Lambo &
Chisom Okolie
- 241** **Norway**
Wikborg Rein Advokatfirma AS: Gry Hvidsten &
Emily M. Weitzenboeck
- 254** **Pakistan**
S. U. Khan Associates Corporate & Legal
Consultants: Saifullah Khan & Saeed Hasan Khan
- 263** **Peru**
Iriarte & Asociados: Erick Iriarte Ahón &
Fátima Toche Vega
- 272** **Poland**
Leśniewski Borkiewicz & Partners S.K.A.: Grzegorz
Leśniewski, Mateusz Borkiewicz & Jacek Cieśliński

Q&A Chapters Continued

- 285** **Saudi Arabia**
Hammad & Al-Mehdar Law Firm: Suhaib Hammad
- 294** **Senegal**
LPS L@w: Léon Patrice SARR
- 303** **Singapore**
Drew & Napier LLC: Lim Chong Kin
- 319** **Sweden**
Synch Advokat AB: Josefin Riklund & Johannes Hammarling
- 329** **Switzerland**
Homburger AG: Dr. Gregor Bühler, Luca Dal Molin & Dr. Kirsten Wesiak-Schmidt
- 339** **Taiwan**
Lee and Li, Attorneys at Law: Ken-Ying Tseng & Sam Huang
- 349** **Thailand**
Chandler MHM Limited: Pranat Laohapairoj & Atsushi Okada
- 357** **Turkey**
SEOR Law Firm: Okan Or & Yesim Odabas
- 367** **United Arab Emirates**
Bizilance Legal Consultants: Saifullah Khan & Saeed Hasan Khan
- 377** **United Kingdom**
White & Case LLP: Tim Hickman & Joe Devine
- 389** **USA**
White & Case LLP: F. Paul Pittman, Kyle Levenberg & Shira Shamir

Mexico

OLIVARES



Abraham Diaz Arceo



Gustavo Alcocer



Carla Huitron

1 Relevant Legislation and Competent Authorities

1.1 What is the principal data protection legislation?

The legal framework for data protection is found in Articles 6 and 16 of the Mexican Constitution, as well as in the Federal Law for the Protection of Personal Data Held by Private Parties, published in July 2010, and its Regulations, published in December 2011 (hereinafter the “FLPPDHPP”).

1.2 Is there any other general legislation that impacts data protection?

Yes, as follows: the General Law for the Protection of Personal Data in the Possession of Obligated Subjects, which regulates the processing of personal information in the possession of any Federal, State or local authority (the “Law”); the Privacy Notice Rules, published in January 2013; the Binding Self-Regulation Parameters, also published in January 2013; and the General Guidelines for the Protection of Personal Data for the public sector (Federal, State or local authorities). It is worth mentioning that Mexican data protection laws and general legislation follow international correlative laws, directives and statutes, and thus have similar principles, regulatory scope and provisions. Moreover, there are other laws such as: the Criminal Code; the Law for the Regulation of Credit Information Companies; the Law for Regulating Financing Technology Institutions; provisions set forth in the Copyright Law and the Federal Law for Consumer Protection; and some specific provisions set forth in the Civil Code and the Commerce Code, which are also related to data protection.

1.3 Is there any sector-specific legislation that impacts data protection?

Mexican data protection legislation is not based on sectoral laws. The Law, as described above, regulates the collection and processing of any personal information (“PI”) by any private entity acting as a Controller or Processor, which impacts any sector that is involved in any sort of personal data collection or processing.

1.4 What authority(ies) are responsible for data protection?

The National Institute of Transparency, Access to Information and Personal Data Protection (“INAI”) is the authority responsible for overseeing the Law. Its main purpose is the disclosure of governmental activities, budgets and overall public information, as well as the protection of personal data and the individuals’ right to privacy. The INAI has the authority to: conduct investigations; review and sanction data protection Controllers; and authorise, oversee and revoke certifying entities.

The Ministry of Economy is responsible for informing and educating on the obligations regarding the protection of personal data between national and international corporations with commercial activities in the Mexican territory. Among other responsibilities, it must issue the relevant guidelines for the content and scope of the Privacy Notice, in cooperation with the INAI.

2 Definitions

2.1 Please provide the key definitions used in the relevant legislation:

- **“Personal Data”**
Any information concerning an individual that may be identified or identifiable.
- **“Processing”**
The collection, use, disclosure or storage of personal data, by any means. Use covers any action of access, management, benefit, storage, transfer or disposal of personal data.
- **“Controller”**
The individual or private legal entity that determines the processing of personal data or provides the guidelines for the said processing.
- **“Processor”**
The individual or legal entity that, solely or jointly with another, processes personal data on behalf of the Controller.
- **“Data Subject”**
Any identified or identifiable natural person.

- **“Sensitive personal data”**
Any personal data that may affect the most intimate sphere of an individual, or that which, if misused, may lead to discrimination or carry a serious risk to the individual. In particular, sensitive personal data are considered those that may reveal information such as ethnic or racial origin, a present or future medical condition, genetic information, religious, philosophical and moral beliefs, union affiliation, political opinions and sexual preference.
- **“Data Breach”**
Data Breach means any security breach that if occurring in any phase of the data collection, storage or use, may affect in a significant manner the patrimonial or moral rights of individuals.
- **“ARCO Rights”**
Refers to the access, rectification, cancellation or opposition rights, which can be enforced by any data subject, in connection with the collecting or processing of its personal information.
- **“Consent”**
An expression of will made by any data subject, or by any person with legal authority to act on behalf of the data subject, for conducting any activity related to the collecting or processing of the personal information of the data subject.
- **“Pseudonymisation”**
The processing of personal data in such a manner that it can no longer be attributed to a specific data subject, without the use of additional information.
- **“Privacy Notice”**
A document issued by the Controller either in physical, electronic or any other format, which is made available to the data subject prior to processing his/her personal data, and whereby the Controller informs the data subject, among other matters, about: the terms for the collection of personal data; which personal information will be collected; the identity of the Controller; the purpose of the data collection; the possible transfers of data; and the mechanisms for the data subject to enforce its ARCO rights.
- **“Transfer”**
Any data communication made to a person other than the Collector or the Processor, either in Mexican territory or abroad.

3 Territorial Scope

3.1 Do the data protection laws apply to businesses established in other jurisdictions? If so, in what circumstances would a business established in another jurisdiction be subject to those laws?

Mexican data protection law is not limited to PI Controllers established or operating in Mexican territory. Although the Law does not provide a specific reach or scope of its applicability, the Regulations to the Law do. In this regard, such regulations (and, therefore, the Law), in addition to being applicable to companies established or operating under Mexican law (whether or not located in Mexican territory) apply to companies not established under Mexican law that are subject to Mexican legislation derived from the execution of a contract or under the terms of international law.

Additionally, Mexican regulations on data protection apply to: company establishments located in the Mexican territory; persons or entities not established in the Mexican territory but

using means located in such territory, unless such means are used merely for transition purposes that do not imply a processing or handling of personal data; and when the Controller is not established in the Mexican territory but the person designated as the party in charge of the control and management of its personal data (a service provider) is.

In the case of individuals, the establishment will mean the location of the main place of business or location customarily used to perform their activities or their home.

4 Key Principles

4.1 What are the key principles that apply to the processing of personal data?

- **Transparency**
This principle is not defined in the Law; however, the Law makes it clear that personal data can in no way be collected, stored or used through deceitful or fraudulent means.
- **Lawful basis for processing**
The Controller is responsible for processing personal and/or sensitive data in accordance with the principles set forth in the Law and international treaties.
- **Purpose limitation**
Personal data shall only be collected and processed in compliance with the purpose or purposes set forth in the Privacy Notice. Moreover, the purpose of the Privacy Notice must be certain, which is achieved by establishing the purpose for which the personal data will be collected and processed in a clear, objective manner, not leaving any room for confusion.
- **Data minimisation**
The Controller will be responsible and shall endeavour to make reasonable efforts so that the personal data processed are the minimum necessary according to the purpose that originated the collection of PI.
- **Proportionality**
Controllers can only collect personal data that are necessary, appropriate and relevant for the purpose(s) of their collection.
- **Retention**
This translates into the obligation of the Controller to retain personal data only for the period of time necessary for complying with the purpose(s) for which the data were collected, with the obligation to block, cancel and suppress the personal data afterwards.
- **Responsibility**
The Controller must safeguard and be accountable for any PI under its custody, or any PI that it has shared with any vendor, either in Mexico or abroad. In order to comply with this principle, the Controller must make use of any of the best international practices, corporate policies, self-regulatory schemes or any other suitable mechanism to this effect.
- **Quality**
This principle is accomplished when the personal data processed are accurate, complete, pertinent, correct and updated as required, in order to comply with the purpose for which the personal data will be collected.
- **Consent**
The Controller shall obtain the consent of the data subject, prior to the collection of any personal information, and must keep evidence of the consent.
- **Loyalty**
This consists of the obligation of the Controller to process

any PI collected favouring the protection of the interests of the data subject and the reasonable expectation of privacy.

5 Individual Rights

5.1 What are the key rights that individuals have in relation to the processing of their personal data?

- **Right of access to data/copies of data**
Data subjects have the right to access their personal data held by the Controller at any time they request.
- **Right to rectification of errors**
Data subjects have the right to request the rectification of any of their personal data, held by a Controller, which turns out to be inaccurate, incomplete or out of date.
- **Right to deletion/right to be forgotten**
Data subjects have the right to request the cancellation of their personal data. The cancellation of personal data will result in a blocking period, after which the suppression of the data will take place. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Controller may keep such personal data exclusively for the purposes of the responsibilities regarding their treatment. Likewise, the Law establishes some cases where the Controller is not obliged to cancel or delete the personal data.
- **Right to object to processing**
Data subjects have the right to object to the processing of their personal data due to a legitimate reason.
- **Right to restrict processing**
Data subjects have the right to restrict the processing of their personal data due to a legitimate reason.
- **Right to data portability**
Data subjects have the right to obtain, from the subject concerned, a copy of his/her processed data, which allows the data subject to continue using his/her personal information.
- **Right to withdraw consent**
At any time, the data subject may withdraw his/her consent for the treatment of his/her personal data. The Controller must establish simple and free mechanisms that allow the data subjects to withdraw their consent at least by the same means by which they granted it.
- **Right to object to marketing**
In addition to the general rights described above, data subjects have the right to oppose the use of their personal data for marketing or advertising purposes.
- **Right protecting against solely automated decision-making and profiling**
Data subjects have the right to oppose to the treatment of their data, at any time, by any mechanism, including automated decision-making and profiling.
- **Right to complain to the relevant data protection authority(ies)**
Data subjects are entitled to submit a claim before the INAI. The claim must be filed in writing and shall clearly state the provisions of the Law that are deemed infringed; also, it must be submitted within the 15 days following the date on which the response to the data subject has been communicated by the Controller.
- **Right to a Verification Procedure**
Data subjects have the right to request before the INAI, a verification procedure, by which the authority will check the Controller's compliance with all the provisions set forth in the Law, or any other applicable regulations.

5.2 Please confirm whether data subjects have the right to mandate not-for-profit organisations to seek remedies on their behalf or seek collective redress.

The only person that might seek remedies for data protection infringements are the data owners themselves, or in its case, their legal representatives. The Law does not contemplate collective redress.

6 Children's Personal Data

6.1 What additional obligations apply to the processing of children's personal data?

Children's legal guardians' consent must be always given when processing children's personal data. This applies to any individual younger than 18 (years of age).

7 Registration Formalities and Prior Approval

7.1 Is there a legal obligation on businesses to register with or notify the data protection authority (or any other governmental body) in respect of its processing activities?

No there is not.

7.2 If such registration/notification is needed, must it be specific (e.g., listing all processing activities, categories of data, etc.) or can it be general (e.g., providing a broad description of the relevant processing activities)?

This is not applicable.

7.3 On what basis are registrations/notifications made (e.g., per legal entity, per processing purpose, per data category, per system or database)?

This is not applicable.

7.4 Who must register with/notify the data protection authority (e.g., local legal entities, foreign legal entities subject to the relevant data protection legislation, representative or branch offices of foreign legal entities subject to the relevant data protection legislation)?

This is not applicable.

7.5 What information must be included in the registration/notification (e.g., details of the notifying entity, affected categories of individuals, affected categories of personal data, processing purposes)?

This is not applicable.

7.6 What are the sanctions for failure to register/notify where required?

This is not applicable.

7.7 What is the fee per registration/notification (if applicable)?

This is not applicable.

7.8 How frequently must registrations/notifications be renewed (if applicable)?

This is not applicable.

7.9 Is any prior approval required from the data protection regulator?

This is not applicable.

7.10 Can the registration/notification be completed online?

This is not applicable.

7.11 Is there a publicly available list of completed registrations/notifications?

This is not applicable.

7.12 How long does a typical registration/notification process take?

This is not applicable.

8 Appointment of a Data Protection Officer

8.1 Is the appointment of a Data Protection Officer mandatory or optional? If the appointment of a Data Protection Officer is only mandatory in some circumstances, please identify those circumstances.

The appointment of a Data Protection Officer (person or department) by the Controller is mandatory.

8.2 What are the sanctions for failing to appoint a Data Protection Officer where required?

Failure to appoint a Data Protection Officer (person or department) is not expressly catalogued as an infringement in the Law. However, Section XIX of Article 63 of the FLPPDHPP contains a “catch all” provision that considers as an infringement any failure to comply with the obligations set forth in the Law. Therefore, failure to appoint a DPO has to be deemed an administrative infringement. Nevertheless, there is no express sanction in the law for the infringements referred to in Section XIX above.

8.3 Is the Data Protection Officer protected from disciplinary measures, or other employment consequences, in respect of his or her role as a Data Protection Officer?

No, they are not.

8.4 Can a business appoint a single Data Protection Officer to cover multiple entities?

Yes, it can.

8.5 Please describe any specific qualifications for the Data Protection Officer required by law.

There are no statutory requirements. Notwithstanding the foregoing, it is recommended to appoint a person, team or department with at least the following qualifications: i) data privacy expertise (certification desired); and ii) enough authority and resources to advocate and implement measures in order to protect the personal data within the company.

8.6 What are the responsibilities of the Data Protection Officer as required by law or best practice?

The responsibilities of a Data Protection Officer required by law are to: i) process all claims related to the enforcement of ARCO rights; and ii) foster and enhance the protection of personal data inside the company.

8.7 Must the appointment of a Data Protection Officer be registered/notified to the relevant data protection authority(ies)?

No, there is no statutory obligation to register or notify the appointment of a Data Protection Officer to any authority.

8.8 Must the Data Protection Officer be named in a public-facing privacy notice or equivalent document?

It is necessary to mention in the Privacy Notice the name and domicile (contact information) of the person or department that will be responsible for the collection, use and storage of the personal data.

9 Appointment of Processors

9.1 If a business appoints a processor to process personal data on its behalf, must the business enter into any form of agreement with that processor?

Yes, the relationship between the business and the Processor must be established by means of contractual clauses or other legal instruments determined by the business; and it is necessary to prove the existence, scope and content of the relationship.

9.2 If it is necessary to enter into an agreement, what are the formalities of that agreement (e.g., in writing, signed, etc.) and what issues must it address (e.g., only processing personal data in accordance with relevant instructions, keeping personal data secure, etc.)?

The agreement shall be in writing and signed by both parties. The agreement shall contain at least the following obligations on the Processor: i) to treat personal data according to the instructions of the business; ii) to treat personal data for the purposes instructed by the business; iii) to implement security measures in accordance with the Law, and other applicable provisions; iv) to

keep confidentiality regarding the personal data processed; v) to delete all PI processed once the legal relationship with the business is over, or when the instructions of the business have been fulfilled, provided that there is no legal provision that requires the preservation of the personal data; and vi) to refrain from transferring PI unless the business determines so, or when it is required by a competent authority. It is worth mentioning that agreements between the business and the Processor in relation to the treatment of personal data must be in accordance with the corresponding Privacy Notice.

10 Marketing

10.1 Please describe any legislative restrictions on the sending of electronic direct marketing (e.g., for marketing by email or SMS, is there a requirement to obtain prior opt-in consent of the recipient?).

Mexico does not have any specific regulations dealing with unsolicited text messages or spam emails, but the Federal Bureau for Consumer Protection operates a call-blocking registry (“REPEP”), covering both landlines and mobile phone numbers, which gives suppliers 30 days to make marketing calls, send marketing messages and to stop disturbing the consumer at his/her registered address, electronic address, or by any other means. Likewise, all the marketing purposes have to be specified clearly in the Privacy Notice.

10.2 Are these restrictions only applicable to business-to-consumer marketing, or do they also apply in a business-to-business context?

Please refer to question 10.1 above.

10.3 Please describe any legislative restrictions on the sending of marketing via other means (e.g., for marketing by telephone, a national opt-out register must be checked in advance; for marketing by post, there are no consent or opt-out requirements, etc.).

Please refer to question 10.1 above.

10.4 Do the restrictions noted above apply to marketing sent from other jurisdictions?

Please refer to question 10.1 above.

10.5 Is/are the relevant data protection authority(ies) active in enforcement of breaches of marketing restrictions?

Issues regarding marketing restrictions are regularly addressed by the Federal Bureau for Consumer Protection.

10.6 Is it lawful to purchase marketing lists from third parties? If so, are there any best practice recommendations on using such lists?

Since Mexican law expressly provides that the collecting or processing of any personal information has to be through lawful means, the purchasing of marketing lists, including any personal

information not collected in accordance with Mexican law, would not be deemed legal. If the marketing list includes only business contact information or publicly available information, then it can be used, and it is always recommended to provide recipients of emails sent for marketing purposes with a mechanism that allows an easy opt-out from the marketing service.

10.7 What are the maximum penalties for sending marketing communications in breach of applicable restrictions?

According to the Federal Consumer Protection Law, the maximum penalties for marketing breaches may reach the amount of MXN\$1,858,189.39 (approximately US\$90,000.00).

11 Cookies

11.1 Please describe any legislative restrictions on the use of cookies (or similar technologies).

The Guidelines for drawing up the Privacy Notice require: individuals be informed as to any technology that allows the automatic collection of PI simultaneously with the first contact with the individuals; data owners to request consent from individuals through an opt-in mechanism; and individuals to be informed as to how to deactivate said technology, unless said technology is required for technical reasons.

11.2 Do the applicable restrictions (if any) distinguish between different types of cookies? If so, what are the relevant factors?

No, they do not.

11.3 To date, has/have the relevant data protection authority(ies) taken any enforcement action in relation to cookies?

No, they do not.

11.4 What are the maximum penalties for breaches of applicable cookie restrictions?

Although there is not any express infringement regulated in the Law in connection with the use of cookies, their use in contravention of the Guidelines mentioned above would translate to an illicit collecting of PI, which would be sanctioned with a fine of up to US\$1,500,000, and if the infringement persists, an additional fine of up to US\$1,500,000 may be imposed.

12 Restrictions on International Data Transfers

12.1 Please describe any restrictions on the transfer of personal data to other jurisdictions.

If the Controller wishes to transfer any PI to any third parties, either domestic or foreign, it needs to obtain the informed consent of data subjects for the said data transfer, in advance, through the corresponding Privacy Notice. There are some

cases where third parties do not require the consent of the data subject for the transfer of PI. According to Article 37 of the Law, consent will not be necessary only in the following cases:

- i) when expressly allowed by the Law;
- ii) when PI is available in publicly accessible sources;
- iii) when personal data has been dissociated;
- iv) when the collection of personal data is needed for compliance with obligations derived from a legal relationship between the data subject and the data owner;
- v) when there is an emergency situation that jeopardises the person or the commodities of the data subject; and
- vi) when the collection of PI is indispensable for: medical attention and/or diagnosis; rendering sanitary assistance; and medical treatment or sanitary services, provided that the data subject is not in a condition to give consent, and provided that the data collection is performed by a person subject to legal professional privilege.

12.2 Please describe the mechanisms businesses typically utilise to transfer personal data abroad in compliance with applicable transfer restrictions (e.g., consent of the data subject, performance of a contract with the data subject, approved contractual clauses, compliance with legal obligations, etc.).

As stated above, according to Article 36 of the Law, if a Controller wishes to transfer any PI to third parties, either domestic or foreign, it must obtain consent from the data subject in advance, through a Privacy Notice. When the transfer is performed, the vendor or third party will be obliged on exactly the same terms as the Controller, by means of an agreement that has to be executed in writing.

12.3 Do transfers of personal data to other jurisdictions require registration/notification or prior approval from the relevant data protection authority(ies)? Please describe which types of transfers require approval or notification, what those steps involve, and how long they typically take.

There is no registration/notification requirement set forth in the Law for data transfers.

12.4 What guidance (if any) has/have the data protection authority(ies) issued following the decision of the Court of Justice of the EU in Schrems II (Case C-311/18)?

There has been no guidance from the Mexican DPA following the decision of the Court of Justice of the EU in *Schrems II* (Case-311/18).

12.5 What guidance (if any) has/have the data protection authority(ies) issued in relation to the European Commission's revised Standard Contractual Clauses published on 4 June 2021?

There has been no guidance from the Mexican DPA issued in relation to the European Commission's revised SCC's on June 2021.

13 Whistle-blower Hotlines

13.1 What is the permitted scope of corporate whistle-blower hotlines (e.g., restrictions on the types of issues that may be reported, the persons who may submit a report, the persons whom a report may concern, etc.)?

Whistle-blower hotlines can be put into operation, but the Law is silent as to any restrictions on the personal data that may be processed through them.

13.2 Is anonymous reporting prohibited, strongly discouraged, or generally permitted? If it is prohibited or discouraged, how do businesses typically address this issue?

Anonymous and non-anonymous reporting is allowed.

14 CCTV

14.1 Does the use of CCTV require separate registration/notification or prior approval from the relevant data protection authority(ies), and/or any specific form of public notice (e.g., a high-visibility sign)?

There is no registration or notification requirement for the use of CCTV.

14.2 Are there limits on the purposes for which CCTV data may be used?

The Law is silent as to the limits on the purposes for which CCTV data may be used.

15 Employee Monitoring

15.1 What types of employee monitoring are permitted (if any), and in what circumstances?

In January 2021 there was an amendment to the Mexican Federal Labor Law, introducing the regulation of “telework”, thus establishing the right of employers to monitor employees’ activities working under this modality, and the obligation of employees to use the technology provided by employers in order to monitor the activities carried out under the modality of telework.

The monitoring of the employee is limited to the activities carried out under the modality of telework, and this amendment also recognises the right of employees to “disconnect”, whenever they are not performing their work, in order to respect their privacy.

This amendment only established a general legal framework that will have to be detailed in the years to come.

The general rules set forth by this amendment will also have to be interpreted by the Mexican Courts on a case-by-case basis, in order to generate jurisprudence in this regard.

15.2 Is consent or notice required? Describe how employers typically obtain consent or provide notice.

A written agreement will have to be executed between the employer and employees working under the modality of telework, and in said agreement the consent to be monitored during working hours will have to be collected.

Also, since the collection, storage and use of any audio or video material featuring the voice and image of any individual within the workplace may be deemed a collection of PI, employers are required to give employees notice as to the use of video surveillance technology at workplaces.

The INAI has drawn up a short model Privacy Notice to be used by any individual or company introducing video surveillance technology on their premises.

Said summary Privacy Notice must be visible at the entrance to monitored spaces, and must inform individuals of the purpose of the surveillance and the treatment of the collected information.

15.3 To what extent do works councils/trade unions/employee representatives need to be notified or consulted?

Currently, it is not mandatory to consult or notify employees' representatives at works councils/trade unions. However, in light of the above-mentioned amendment, it may change in the near future when negotiating collective labour agreements for employees working under the modality of telework.

15.4 Are employers entitled to process information on an employee's COVID-19 vaccination status?

Yes, as long as an employment agreement exists that includes a "Personal Data Protection" clause by which employer is entitled to process employees' sensitive personal data.

16 Data Security and Data Breach

16.1 Is there a general obligation to ensure the security of personal data? If so, which entities are responsible for ensuring that data are kept secure (e.g., controllers, processors, etc.)?

Article 19 of the Federal Law for the Protection of Personal Data Held by Private Entities requires every Controller to implement and maintain administrative, technical and physical security measures, which protect the collected and stored PI from any loss, alteration, destruction or any unauthorised access and use.

Said measures cannot be lesser than those used by the data owner to protect its own information. For their implementation, the data Controller must consider the existing risk and the possible consequences for the data subjects, the sensitivity of the data, and technological developments. Therefore, security measures may vary from industry to industry, and from company to company.

16.2 Is there a legal requirement to report data breaches to the relevant data protection authority(ies)? If so, describe what details must be reported, to whom, and within what timeframe. If no legal requirement exists, describe under what circumstances the relevant data protection authority(ies) expect(s) voluntary breach reporting.

There is no legal requirement to report data breaches to the

INAI, and so far, there are no guidelines for voluntary breach reporting to the INAI.

16.3 Is there a legal requirement to report data breaches to affected data subjects? If so, describe what details must be reported, to whom, and within what timeframe. If no legal requirement exists, describe under what circumstances the relevant data protection authority(ies) expect(s) voluntary breach reporting.

Mexican law sets forth that if any phase of collection, storage or use of data "may in any way affect in a significant manner the patrimonial or moral rights of individuals", data owners shall immediately notify individuals about this situation.

However, so far there is no further explanation in the law or in the jurisprudence as to what is to be deemed a significant effect on the patrimonial or moral rights of data subjects.

Likewise, Article 64 of the Regulations of the Law requires data owners to notify individuals, without any delay, as to any breach that significantly affects their moral or patrimonial rights, as soon as the data owner confirms that a breach has occurred, and when the data owner has taken any actions towards starting an exhaustive process to determine the magnitude of the breach.

In said notification, data owners must state at least:

- the nature of the incident;
- the compromised PI;
- recommendations for the data subjects to protect their interests;
- the corrective measures immediately implemented by the data owner; and
- the means of obtaining more information regarding the breach.

16.4 What are the maximum penalties for data security breaches?

According to the Federal Consumer Protection Law, the penalties for data security breaches regarding marketing matters are up to MXN\$1,858,189.39 (approximately US\$90,000.00).

If the INAI determines that a data breach is attributable to a Controller or Processor, a fine of up to MXN\$320,000 (approximately US\$1,400,000) may be imposed.

17 Enforcement and Sanctions

17.1 Describe the enforcement powers of the data protection authority(ies).

- (a) **Investigative powers:** Verification proceeding: the INAI is entitled to conduct inspections *ex officio* at any company, in order to determine its compliance with the legislation on PI.
- (b) **Corrective powers:** The INAI is entitled to declare administrative infringements in order to enforce the ARCO rights of any individual, for omitting in the Privacy Notice any or all of the elements established in the Law, collecting or transferring personal data without the express consent of the holder, for obstructing the authority's acts of verification, and violating the security of databases, programs or equipment, when it is attributable to the responsible party, among others.
- (c) **Authorisation and advisory powers:** The INAI is entitled to develop, promote and disseminate analyses, studies

and research on the protection of personal data held by private parties and to provide training to regulated entities. It may also provide technical support to those responsible, upon request, for compliance with the obligations established in the Law.

- (d) **Imposition of administrative fines for infringements of specified GDPR provisions:** The INAI is entitled to declare administrative infringements and impose administrative fines for non-compliance with any of the principles or provisions of the Law.
- (e) **Non-compliance with a data protection authority:** The INAI is entitled to issue the criteria and recommendations, in accordance with the applicable provisions of this Law, for the purposes of its enforceability and operation.

17.2 Does the data protection authority have the power to issue a ban on a particular processing activity? If so, does such a ban require a court order?

This authority is not expressly designated in the Law as the INAI. However, considering that the Law recognises the INAI as the specialised authority in charge of the protection of PI in Mexico, the INAI should be deemed as having the authority to ban a particular processing activity. However, if contested by any third party, any ban issued by the INAI should be validated by the Mexican Federal Administrative Courts.

17.3 Describe the data protection authority's approach to exercising those powers, with examples of recent cases.

There are no recent cases or precedents illustrating the INAI's approach.

17.4 Does the data protection authority ever exercise its powers against businesses established in other jurisdictions? If so, how is this enforced?

So far there is no precedent for the INAI having exercised its powers against businesses established in other jurisdictions.

18 E-discovery / Disclosure to Foreign Law Enforcement Agencies

18.1 How do businesses typically respond to foreign e-discovery requests, or requests for disclosure from foreign law enforcement agencies?

Any e-discovery requests or requests for disclosure from foreign law enforcement agencies have to be validated by Mexican Courts for them to be validly enforced in Mexico. If any order or request from any foreign law enforcement agency is not validated through a Mexican Court, a company may refuse to comply with it.

18.2 What guidance has/have the data protection authority(ies) issued?

In connection with e-discovery and disclosure to foreign law enforcement agencies, no guidance has been issued by the INAI.

19 Trends and Developments

19.1 What enforcement trends have emerged during the previous 12 months? Describe any relevant case law or recent enforcement actions.

There has been additional guidance criteria issued by the INAI in connection with the COVID-19 pandemic and enforcement actions, which are expected to increase in the near future.

19.2 What "hot topics" are currently a focus for the data protection regulator?

As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, e-commerce and telework are growing exponentially in Mexico, thus becoming the main focus for data protection regulators. Some bills are being passed to the Congress in order to improve the legal framework in connection with the regulation of e-commerce and social media, which may have an indirect impact on the protection of personal data.

Furthermore, Mexico is a party to the Convention 108+, which should improve the protection of personal data in Mexico, focusing on cross-border data transfer and automated consent. Amendments to the FLPPDHPP are expected but no reform act has yet been approved.



Abraham Diaz Arceo co-chairs OLIVARES' Privacy and IT Industry Groups and has a wealth of knowledge across all areas of intellectual property (IP), with a focus on litigation, copyright, right of publicity, trademarks, unfair competition, licensing, prosecution and opposition matters. He also handles domain disputes under the Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (UDRP) and the Local Dispute Resolution Policy (LDRP) and provides strategic advice on website development, protection of website content, online advertisement, and compliance on e-commerce and privacy law regulations. Mr. Diaz has authored articles on IP and Internet matters, as well as on privacy law, for leading industry publications and has lectured on cutting-edge IP topics in national and international fora. His representative cases include defence of the producers of the documentary film, *Presunto Culpable*, from various civil law suits filed by individuals portrayed in the documentary, which set the basis for regulations now applicable to the documentary film industry in connection with the use of a person's image.

OLIVARES
Pedro Luis Ogazon 17
San Angel, 01000
Mexico City
Mexico

Tel: +52 55 532 23041
Email: abraham.diaz@olivares.mx
URL: www.olivares.com.mx



Gustavo Alcocer manages the Corporate and Commercial Law Group at OLIVARES, advising domestic and foreign businesses and the owners of those businesses on Mexico and cross-border corporate and commercial transactions. He serves as outside general counsel in Mexico to many of his domestic and foreign clients and has significant experience in domestic and cross-border transactions. With more than 30 years of law firm and in-house practice experience, Mr. Alcocer possesses a wealth of transactional knowledge in M&A, finance, and business law and advises clients across IP-intensive industry sectors such as life sciences, information technology, food and beverage, transportation, and retail. Clients routinely turn to him for sophisticated strategic advice regarding structuring, maintaining and expanding operations in Mexico, as well as on valuation and monetisation.

OLIVARES
Pedro Luis Ogazon 17
San Angel, 01000
Mexico City
Mexico

Tel: +52 55 532 23000
Email: gustavo.alcocer@olivares.mx
URL: www.olivares.com.mx



Carla Huitron is a member of the Corporate and Commercial Law Group since 2011, providing high-qualified assistance to national and international clients advising them on their commercial needs. Among the work she develops is to support clients in drafting and reviewing documents such as: (i) service, distribution, merchandise, NDAs, franchise and license agreements; (ii) company's by-laws or corporate minutes; and (iii) providing legal opinions on civil, commercial, consumer's protection, transparency in advertising, and data protection Law, among others.

OLIVARES
Pedro Luis Ogazon 17
San Angel, 01000
Mexico City
Mexico

Tel: +52 55 5322 3000
Email: carla.huitron@olivares.mx
URL: www.olivares.com.mx

Having been in business for over 50 years, OLIVARES continues its legacy of excellence in client service and attracts clients from all areas of Mexico, in addition to international clients needing counsel regarding Mexican laws, regulations and cases.

www.olivares.mx



ICLG.com



Current titles in the ICLG series

Alternative Investment Funds
Anti-Money Laundering
Aviation Finance & Leasing
Aviation Law
Business Crime
Cartels & Leniency
Class & Group Actions
Competition Litigation
Construction & Engineering Law
Consumer Protection
Copyright
Corporate Governance
Corporate Immigration
Corporate Investigations
Corporate Tax
Cybersecurity
Data Protection
Derivatives
Designs
Digital Business
Digital Health
Drug & Medical Device Litigation
Employment & Labour Law
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
Environment & Climate Change Law
Environmental, Social & Governance Law
Family Law
Fintech
Foreign Direct Investment Regimes
Franchise
Gambling
Insurance & Reinsurance
International Arbitration
Investor-State Arbitration
Lending & Secured Finance
Litigation & Dispute Resolution
Merger Control
Mergers & Acquisitions
Mining Law
Oil & Gas Regulation
Patents
Pharmaceutical Advertising
Private Client
Private Equity
Product Liability
Project Finance
Public Investment Funds
Public Procurement
Real Estate
Renewable Energy
Restructuring & Insolvency
Sanctions
Securitisation
Shipping Law
Technology Sourcing
Telecoms, Media & Internet
Trade Marks
Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms