

Mexico

Alejandro Luna Fandiño and Ingrid Ortiz

OLIVARES

ORGANISATION AND FINANCING OF HEALTHCARE

Organisation

1 | How is healthcare in your jurisdiction organised?

The Mexican healthcare system comprises public (social security institutions) and private insurers, out-of-pocket payments and informal arrangements.

The major public segments of the Mexican healthcare system are:

- social security institutions exclusively directed to formal workers, in which the funding comes from contributions by the federal government, the employer and the employee, such as the:
 - Mexican Social Security Institute (IMSS);
 - Civil Service Social Security and Services Institute;
 - Social Security Institute for the Mexican Armed Forces; or
 - PEMEX Medical Services, for Mexican petroleum workers; and
- public institutions exclusively directed to attend people not covered by social security, in which the funding comes from the federal government, states and patients, such as the:
 - Wellness and Health Institute; and
 - Institutions of the Ministry of Health (MOH) of each of the 32 states.

In the public sector, social security and public institutions provide medicines. However, if the medicine is not available when required, some public insurers allow private registered drugstores to supply prescribed medicines and to request their refund.

Owing to the covid-19 pandemic, the government implemented actions to attend to the population, regardless if they are affiliated or not the social security system.

The private sector comprises private institutions, insurers and independent professionals, the users of which are not restricted. Individuals and private insurers fund this sector. Private health insurance generally covers professional, executive and higher levels of the private sector. Enrolment in private health insurance has increased considerably over the years. According to official figures, up to 50 per cent of annual health spending in Mexico comes from out-of-pocket payments related to private doctors, insurance and drug acquisitions.

Financing

2 | How is the healthcare system financed in the outpatient and inpatient sectors?

The manner in which healthcare institutions are financed relies on whether they belong to the public or private sectors rather than whether they belong to outpatient or inpatient sectors.

Public sector

Public-sector healthcare institutions are mostly financed through contributions from public- and private-sector workers. Employers and

employees both pay a tax solely for the purpose of providing healthcare services. There are special rules for those who are unable to pay but are still eligible to benefit from the healthcare system.

Private sector

According to official figures, up to 50 per cent of annual health spending in Mexico comes from out-of-pocket expenses, related to private doctors, insurance and drug acquisitions.

Basic structures

3 | What are the basic structures of the provision of care to patients in statutory and private care?

According to Health Law and its regulations, depending on the type of healthcare services, these services should be provided by physicians licensed in Mexico and through licensed healthcare centres. Enrolment of patients in social security healthcare centres derives from their social security rights. Enrolment of patients in public healthcare centres derives from national policies to provide healthcare to citizens. Enrolment of patients in private healthcare centres is an individual decision.

In the public sector (social security and public institutions), healthcare centres dispense medicinal products prescribed by their healthcare professionals from a medicinal products' list, which is a National Formulary issued by the MOH. Public insurers acquire those listed products mostly by public tender processes. The IMSS is the largest public-sector buyer of drugs.

HEALTHCARE SERVICES

Authorisation

4 | What steps are necessary to authorise the provision of health services, and what law governs this?

The Health Law and its Regulations for Health Services, and the Mexican Official Norm for Hospitals (NOM-016-SSA3-2012) are the main laws that govern the provision of health services in Mexico. Prior to opening, hospitals and specialised healthcare centres require a licence granted by the Mexican Healthcare Regulatory Agency (COFEPRIS). The main requirement for getting a licence is by providing a description of the internal organisation and human and financial resources, the internal rules of the establishment, a description of healthcare facilities and services, and a designated qualified person. For high-risk healthcare services, such as radiotherapy and haemodialysis, an additional licence is required. Conversely, low-risk healthcare services that do not involve surgeries or obstetric services may require to give only notice of operation to COFEPRIS rather than getting a licence.

COFEPRIS was a decentralised agency of the Ministry of Health, in charge of the control and surveillance in all aspects related to sanitary regulation (in connection to drugs, medical devices, health services, food

supplements, food and beverages, cosmetics, pesticides, clinical studies, etc), with administrative, technical and operational autonomy, as well having its own legal personality and assets. However, in August 2020 the Federal Commission for the Protection against Sanitary Risks was incorporated into the Undersecretary for Prevention and Promotion of Health of the Ministry of Health. From now on, COFEPRIS or its faculties will depend directly on the Undersecretary for Prevention and Promotion of Health.

Structure

5 | Which types of legal entities can offer healthcare services?

The Health Law regulations do not clearly specify that certain types of healthcare services can only be provided by specified types of entities. Therefore, associations, corporations and limited liability companies can provide healthcare services, as long as they get a licence or a notice of operation.

Services of foreign companies

6 | What further steps are necessary for foreign companies to offer health services?

Pursuant to current COFEPRIS criteria, companies constituted in Mexico hold a licence. Thus, foreign companies might either constitute a company in Mexico or have a holding agreement with a local partner.

ADVERTISING

Legislation

7 | Which legislation governs advertising of medicinal products to healthcare professionals?

The primary legislation for the advertising of medicinal products is the General Health Law (HL), and its Regulations (HLR) concerning advertising. These norms are supplemented by guidelines published by the Mexican Healthcare Regulatory Agency (COFEPRIS).

Industry Codes of Practices complement this regulation. The Council of Ethics and Transparency of the Pharmaceutical Industry (CETIFARMA) has issued the following self-regulatory instruments (the Codes):

- the Ethics and Pharmaceutical Industry Transparency Code;
- the Good Promotion Practice Code (GPP Code); and
- the Good Pharmaceutical Industry and Patient Organisation Interaction Practice Code (GPI Code).

Affiliate members of the National Chamber of the Pharmaceutical Industry are required to follow these codes. CETIFARMA supervises members' and adherents' compliance.

There are also opinions issued by the Advertising Council, which include representatives from the Ministry of Health, the academic and scientific communities, the business sector, and the media and consumer groups.

Additionally, other general legislation may be relevant for the advertising of medicinal products, particularly the Federal Law for the Protection of Consumers and the Industrial Property Law.

Main principles

8 | What are the main rules and principles applying to advertising of medicinal products aimed at healthcare professionals?

According to article 42 of the HLR concerning advertising, advertisements directed at healthcare professionals can only be published in specialised media, and they must be based on the recommended information for the corresponding medicinal product, which must contain the following data:

- the distinctive denomination, if this is the case;
- the generic denomination;
- pharmaceutical form and formulation;
- therapeutic indications;
- pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics;
- side effects;
- general precautions;
- restrictions of use during pregnancy and breastfeeding;
- secondary and adverse reactions;
- medical interactions;
- alterations in results from lab tests;
- precautions related to carcinogenic, mutagenic, teratogenic and fertility effects;
- the dose and tract of administration;
- manifestations and handling of overdose or accidental ingestion;
- presentation or presentations;
- storage recommendations;
- protection notices;
- the name and domicile of the laboratory; and
- the marketing authorisation number.

Article 42 also mentions that if some of the above-mentioned data does not exist, the circumstance must be expressly mentioned.

The GPP Code states that the relationships between pharmaceutical industry personnel and healthcare professionals should encourage the development of a medical practice committed to patients' well-being, based on truthful and accurate information and tested, revealing up-to-date scientific evidence to contribute to the appropriate use of approved medicines.

Conversely, in December 2017, COFEPRIS issued new guidelines regarding the advertising of prescription-only medicinal products. According to these guidelines, prescription-only medicinal products that can be purchased as many times as prescribed, and can now be advertised in the mass media, provided that these advertisements are transmitted within specialised programmes, informative segments or advertising breaks, which should be targeted at professionals, technicians and volunteers of health disciplines, or in another type of programme or means of communication, provided it complies with the following characteristics:

- that within the advertising message there is a strong message warning of the consequences of self-prescription and microbial resistance, which should have an approximate duration of 10 to 20 per cent of the entire advertising message;
- knowledge of innovative or generic medicines should be promoted;
- a caption should be included stating: 'Exclusive information for health professionals, avoid self-medication' in accordance with the provisions of article 10 of the Health Law Regulations;
- advertising on television or in electronic media must contain the following caption: 'The use of this medicine requires a prescription' and must include at least one of the following disclaimers:
 - 'The improper and excessive use of antibiotics generates resistance and puts your health at risk';
 - 'Only use antibiotics when a health professional prescribes it';
 - 'Never use antibiotics that you have left over and do not share them with others';
 - 'Always take the complete prescription, even when you feel better'; or
 - 'Doctor: prescribe and dispense antibiotics only when needed'; and
- the advertising notice must be made five days prior to its dissemination in any means of communication for the purpose that during that period COFEPRIS will give its approval.

CETIFARMA issued a paper position in this regard on 2018, stating a deep legal, regulatory and ethical analysis of this advertising allowance before pharmaceutical companies release them.

We also suggest that in-depth analysis of this situation is needed on a case-by-case basis in view of the potential impact on patients' health, and potential contingencies to pharmaceutical companies advertising in such a way. Moreover, the legal strength of COFEPRIS' guidelines is questionable, as they were published on COFEPRIS' website instead of an official gazette in order to have legal worth. Because of this reason, on 25 June 2019, COFEPRIS revoked other guidelines relating to product advertising.

Advertising of medical devices

9 | Is the advertising of medical devices to healthcare professionals regulated as rigorously as advertising in the pharmaceuticals sector? What are the main differences?

Generally, regulations regarding medical devices are lighter than those for medicinal products. The HLR concerning advertising provides minimum requirements for advertising aimed at healthcare professionals, such as messages to prevent auto-treatment. The GPP Code standards for medicines apply to medical devices.

DATA PROTECTION, PRIVACY AND DIGITISATION IN HEALTHCARE

Digitisation

10 | What are the legal developments regarding digitisation in the healthcare sector and industrial networks or sales channels?

The most relevant regulatory step by the Mexican Healthcare Regulatory Agency (COFEPRIS) in the digitisation related to the healthcare sector was the publication of their Guidelines for Digital Advertisement (Official Communication No. CAS/1/OR/22/2014) by 2014. These guidelines provided some basic concepts and proceedings related to digital advertisements. However, COFEPRIS revoked the Guidelines on 25 June 2019 because they were published on the COFEPRIS website instead of an official gazette.

Although there is a global trend to quickly invest in digital transformation that connects and enables analysis of every piece of data across the supply chain, channels, operation and patient outreach, health legislation and regulatory bodies are slow to follow suit. Moreover, the prohibition on advertising prescription medicines and the requirement to provide prescription medicines using bricks-and-mortar pharmacies are important obstacles for business models based on digital tools. Therefore, we expect some push on regulators to facilitate new business models in the near future.

Provision of digital health services

11 | Which law regulates the provision of digital health services, and to what extent can such services be provided?

The General Health Law regulates the provision of health services by physicians licensed in Mexico. This law does not specifically establish digital health services yet, which is why new types of services such as telemedicine remain unusual in Mexico.

Authorities

12 | Which authorities are responsible for compliance with data protection and privacy, and what is the applicable legislation? Have the authorities issued specific guidance or rules for data protection and privacy in the healthcare sector?

The applicable legislation is the Federal Law on Transparency and Access to Public Government Information and the responsible authority

for its compliance is the National Institute for Transparency, Access to Information and Personal Data Protection (INAI) is the authority responsible for overseeing the Regulations for the Protection of Personal Data. Its main purpose is the disclosure of government activities, budgets and overall public information, as well as the protection of personal data and individuals' right to privacy. The INAI has the authority to:

- conduct investigations;
- review and sanction data protection controllers; and
- authorise, oversee and revoke certifying entities.

The Ministry of Economy is responsible for informing and educating on the obligations regarding the protection of personal data between national and international corporations with commercial activities on Mexican territory. Among other responsibilities, it must issue the relevant guidelines for the content and scope of the privacy notice in cooperation with the INAI.

The INAI has not issued specific guidelines or rules for data protection and privacy in the healthcare sector yet, but they have issued some decisions advising how to protect or disclose information related to the healthcare sector in cases where freedom of information request refusals were contested.

Requirements

13 | What basic requirements are placed on healthcare providers when it comes to data protection and privacy? Is there a regular need for qualified personnel?

The main and mandatory requirement is the appointment of a data protection officer (person or department) as a controller by the healthcare provider. There are no statutory requirements for qualifications of such officer, but it is advisable to appoint a person or department with at least the following qualifications:

- data privacy expertise; and
- enough authority and resources to implement measures to protect the personal data.

Common infringements

14 | What are the most common data protection and privacy infringements committed by healthcare providers?

The establishment and development of the legal framework for data protection in Mexico is quite recent in comparison with other areas such as healthcare products and services. Thus, there are no enforcement trends that have emerged during the previous 12 months. However, as a result of an investigation process started by the INAI in February 2019 related to a data breach at KPMG Mexico, the INAI is calling for the need to modify Mexican data protection law to include an obligation to notify the Data Protection Authority in the case of a data breach.

COLLABORATION

Legislation

15 | Which legislation governs the collaboration of the pharmaceutical industry with healthcare professionals? Do different rules apply regarding physicians in the outpatient and inpatient sectors?

There are several bodies of law that refer in general terms to the relationship between the pharmaceutical industry and the healthcare professionals, including the General Health Law (HL), the General Health Law's Regulations (HLR) concerning advertising and the HLR concerning sanitary control of activities, establishments, products and services. The Good Promotion Practice Code (the GPP Code) sets forth

guidelines for promotional activities. Public institutions usually have their own particular guidelines. These regulations apply to both physicians in the inpatient and outpatient sectors.

Collaboration with healthcare professionals

16 | What are the main rules and principles applying to the collaboration of the pharmaceutical industry with healthcare professionals?

Scientific and educational events

The GPP Code states that congresses, lectures, symposia, meetings and other similar scientific or educational events sponsored, financed or supported by pharmaceutical companies or any other third party must have, as a main purpose:

- scientific exchange;
- medical education; or
- information about medicines.

Whenever support for continuing education or independent educational programmes is being provided, the education of healthcare professionals should be encouraged, primarily, to improve their knowledge of patient care. In each case, programmes must comply with the guidelines of the applicable laws; they must have a strict scientific content sustained, if required, on clinical evidence; and, most importantly, they must be accredited and certified by the corresponding academic authorities.

Support in general will not be offered, under any circumstance, in order to have any kind of influence on the decision-making process involved in prescribing medicines or buying, including, excluding or modifying official product catalogues.

Samples

According to the GPP Code, samples are provided directly, in fair amounts and without cost to healthcare professionals, so that they may get to know the products or in order to initiate a treatment.

According to article 49 of the HLR concerning advertising, providing samples of products for free does not require approval, provided that they meet the requirements of the approved medicinal product. These samples should be contained in a package with lesser number of units than the approved product.

The GPP Code establishes guidelines for sampling. It prohibits members to offer or supply samples with the aim of seeking or rewarding prescription practices. The Code also forbids any trade of samples.

Members are required to have full and up-to-date control of their samples, including their manufacture, storage, delivery to regional coordinators or others, and provision to medical representatives and physicians.

We always recommend our clients have strict control on product samples as there have been cases of the resale of these samples.

Gifts and donations

The GPP Code essentially states that companies must act responsibly regarding sponsorships and donations. No gifts of significant commercial value may be offered to healthcare professionals, or incentives of any kind, as an inducement to use, prescribe, purchase or recommend a specific product or influence the results of a clinical study.

No gifts, bonuses, pecuniary advantages, benefits in kind or any sort of incentive may be offered or promised to healthcare professionals, administrative staff or government employees involved in the cycle of the prescription, purchase, distribution, dispensing and administration of medicines, except in the case of inexpensive promotional aids related to the practice of medicine or pharmaceutical activities.

The GPP Code delineates an inexpensive promotional aid as one that does not exceed the equivalent of 10 times the minimum wage (around US\$50).

Concerning healthcare professionals in government institutions, article 52 of the Federal Law of Responsibilities for Government Officers expressly forbids such officers from requesting, accepting or receiving any gifts or donations from persons whose commercial or industrial activities are directly linked, regulated or supervised by government officers.

Collaboration with patient organisations

17 | What are the main rules and principles applying to the collaboration of the pharmaceutical industry with patient organisations?

The GPP Code establishes that collaboration between the pharmaceutical industry and patient organisations must have a written agreement in place that will include, at least:

- the activities to be undertaken, cost, source and destination of funding; and
- direct and indirect support and any other relevant non-financial aid.

In these agreements, members have to follow their applicable guidelines, codes of ethics and conduct, their transparent practices and the deontological instruments approved by the Council of Ethics and Transparency of the Pharmaceutical Industry (CETIFARMA) and the National Chamber of the Pharmaceutical Industry.

The GPP Code requires members to set forth criteria and procedures for the approval and implementation of these kinds of collaborations.

Any other kind of sponsorship provided by social, government or private sector organisations should not be excluded.

Common infringements

18 | What are the most common infringements committed by pharmaceutical manufacturers regarding collaboration with healthcare professionals?

CETIFARMA publishes an updated report over complaints on an annual basis. According to the last report, despite receiving 128 complains in total from 2005 to 2018, they received a mere two complaints in 2018. From that complaints' total, the most common alleged infringements were non-supported or imprecise medical information (21 per cent), unauthorised incentives (15 per cent), undue sales practices to the government (15 per cent) and unfair promotion (15 per cent).

Collaboration on medical devices

19 | Is the collaboration of manufacturers of medical devices with healthcare professionals and patient organisations regulated as rigorously as collaboration in the pharmaceuticals sector? What are the main differences?

Generally, regulation regarding medical devices appears lighter than that for medicinal products; however, the standards of the GPP Code and the Good Pharmaceutical Industry and Patient Organisation Interaction Practice Code in the collaboration between manufacturers of medical devices with healthcare professionals and patient organisations should apply.

COMPETITION LAW

Authority enforcement

20 | Are infringements of competition law by healthcare providers pursued by national authorities?

The Federal Economic Competition Commission (COFECE) has powers to pursue any infringement of competition law by healthcare providers.

In 2016, COFECE launched an antitrust analysis on a pharmaceutical market in Mexico for the first time, which was justified by the market's numerous flaws and the confusion surrounding its multiple concepts.

On 9 August 2017, the COFECE published a study concluding there are some competition anomalies in these markets, which are essentially derived from a lack of development in regulations and public policies. The COFECE considers, among other issues, that these anomalies arose from:

- the linkage system between patents and approvals of generics is non-transparent;
- data of approved healthcare products is not up-to-date and remains incomplete;
- incomplete use of the Bolar exemption delays generics' approvals;
- several patents are granted for the same active substance; and
- disputes over patent infringements.

Thus, COFECE recommends public policies that practically eliminate obstacles to generics entry and promotes demand of generics. Some recommendations are proper, such as improving quality, access and transparency of public data of approved healthcare products, but others are worrying, such as establishing restrictions on granting some types of patents.

Olivares believes that the COFECE study has several flaws in its contents and methodology. We consider that COFECE applied concepts, provisions and practices of the international and national patent system, linkage system, Bolar exemption and patent infringement in an inexact manner. For example, it is inconceivable that any country would specify a pharmaceutical active ingredient claimed by several patents.

Fortunately, the COFECE recommendations are not binding and may be taken as 'a first approximation' by such agency. Olivares has monitored COFECE studies as well as learning the intersection of regulations over healthcare products, patent rights and competition, which is required to encourage appropriate competition.

Private enforcement

21 | Is follow-on private antitrust litigation against healthcare providers possible?

The Federal Antitrust Law allows for private entities to request investigations, as well as providing numerous examples and evidence related to a given investigation in progress.

COFECE proceedings have three central features: the secrecy of investigations, discretion surrounding dawn raids, and the linkage that has come about between dawn raids and its own immunity programme.

Further, once the preliminary determination of antitrust practices is declared and published in the Mexican government's official gazette, anyone related or affected by the decision has the opportunity to appeal and submit evidence.

Follow-on private litigation against manufacturers is possible, but has not been as widespread as in other jurisdictions, such as the United States.

Anti-corruption and transparency

22 | What are the main anti-corruption and transparency rules applicable to healthcare providers?

The main mandatory anti-corruption rules and provisions currently in place that are applicable to private parties, whether individuals or corporations (including healthcare providers), are contained in:

- the Mexican Federal Constitution;
- the Federal Anticorruption Law for Government Procurement;
- the Federal Criminal Code; and
- the international anti-corruption conventions to which Mexico is a party, namely:

- the United Nations Convention against Corruption;
- the Inter-American Convention Against Corruption; and
- the Convention on Combating Bribery of Foreign Public Officials in International Business Transactions.

Since 19 July 2017, the General Act of Administrative Responsibilities (GAAR) entered into force in Mexico, repealing the Federal Anticorruption Law for Government Procurement. The GAAR sanctions, among other corrupt activities, the actions of private parties related to administrative liabilities when interacting with public officials, such as bribery, illegal participation on administrative procedures, influence peddling, collusion and undue contracting of former public officials. Some of the main administrative liabilities considered under the GAAR include the disqualification from public acquisitions for no less than three months and no more than 10 years, and the suspension of activities for no less than three months and no more than three years.

PRICING AND REIMBURSEMENT

Price regulation

23 | To what extent is the market price of a medicinal product or medical device governed by law or regulation?

Mexican laws do not establish specific provisions concerning medicinal product pricing for either the outpatient or inpatient sectors. However, several mechanisms are in place, enabling a certain degree of control of such prices in practice.

Private-sector price control is based on a scheme of self-regulated maximum retail price (MRP) covering patented products only, and is overseen by the Ministry of Economy. Pharmaceutical company participation is voluntary. Under the price control, each product's MRP must not exceed an international reference price, estimated as the average price in six major markets, and a market factor. There are no established sanctions for MRP violations.

In 2008, the government created the Committee for the Negotiation of Drug Prices (CNDP). Until 2018, recommended prices for patented and unique drugs (or those with exclusive distributors) for all public institutions were formerly negotiated with the CNDP under the supervision of the Ministry of Public Function and the Federal Economic Competition Commission.

Under that scheme, the price review and eventual changes is done annually. The new administration is implementing modifications frequently, and this can impact the frequency of price change. It is anticipated that the austerity measures that have been taken by the government recently will continue and may drive a more frequent price review. Very likely, the intervention of the United Nations Office for Project Services will modify the entire process.

Regarding public acquisition of innovator drugs covered by patent rights – their price is negotiated in bulk between the patent or licence holder and a government commission for price negotiation. The negotiation proceedings end with a single yearly price for all public sales.

Off-patent drugs are purchased through public tender proceedings, where a reference price is set, based on previous purchasing experiences (ie, a maximum amount that can be paid for a specific drug), and the lowest bidder is assigned the tender.

As the government is the main drugs purchaser, pricing for publicly acquired drugs helps regulate prices in the private sector.

Negotiations between manufacturers and providers

24 | Must pharmaceutical and medical device manufacturers negotiate the prices of their products with public healthcare providers?

Yes; prices for patented drugs are negotiated with a government commission and set for every public acquisition. When patent rights

have expired (or in some cases when there is more than one participant in the market), drugs are acquired through public tender proceedings based on previous purchasing prices.

Reimbursement

25 | In which circumstances will the national health insurance system reimburse the cost of medicines?

Typically, public insurers dispense medicinal products prescribed by their healthcare professionals to patients. Products are prescribed and dispensed from a basic medicinal products list, which public insurers essentially base on the National Formulary issued by the Ministry of Health (MOH). Public insurers acquire those listed products mostly through public tender processes.

Public healthcare institutions, scientific organisations, medical devices and pharmaceutical providers may request a product to be listed in the National Formulary. Essentially, the principal conditions for listing eligibility are that the product has marketing authorisation, has met all safety and efficacy tests (clinical trials) as applicable and is cost-effective (pharma economic tests).

The Mexican Social Security Institute (IMSS) is the largest public-sector drugs purchaser. Public institutions may have their own formulary, such as in the case of the IMSS, whose formulary contains fewer drugs than the National Formulary.

Additionally, in the case of the Civil Service Social Security and Services Institute (ISSSTE), a prescribed medicinal product can be dispensed in a private pharmacy registered with this public insurer, provided that it is not available within ISSSTE facilities and under certain conditions. The ISSSTE reimburses the cost of that product to the pharmacy according to previous agreements.

In 2014, the National Formulary included some orphan drugs, and the Mexican Supreme Court ordered the IMSS to request that the MOH evaluate the inclusion of orphan drugs in the National Formulary before considering its purchasing.

There have been more and more legal precedents by the Federal Court ordering national health insurance institutions to provide a patient with a drug that was not listed in any formulary or available. These precedents are not binding for other cases, but they provide a basis for further debate in this regard.

Price adjudication

26 | If applicable, what is the competent body for decisions regarding the pricing and reimbursability of medicinal products?

While the Ministry of Economy is empowered to raise observations in the scheme of self-regulated maximum retail price, the Commission for Drug Price Negotiations, which is made up of several public offices, including the Ministries of Economy and Health, negotiate with the patent holder or licensee to establish a single price of a patented drug for all sales to the public sector. Likewise, public insurers that acquire products through direct acquisition or public tender are the ones that decide on the corresponding reimbursement.

Discount

27 | Are manufacturers or distributors of medicinal products statutorily obliged to give a discount to health insurance schemes or third parties?

There is no obligation in Mexican law for this specific point, but sales to public institutions are generally made at much lower prices than sales in the private market.

UPDATE AND TRENDS

Key developments of the past year

28 | Is there any legislation expected in the near future that will have a major impact on the current legal environment for medicines or medical devices?

The entry into force of the United States–Mexico–Canada Agreement on 1 July 2020 will have an impact on all areas relating to intellectual property of our domestic law, including pharmaceutical patents and regulatory data protection.

Initiative about cannabis

There are several proposals for a new law for concerning cannabis that aims to regulate and control the process of harvesting, storing, transporting, labelling, producing, publicising, sponsoring, selling and commercialising cannabis.

Recently, the Ministry of Health sent to the Federal Regulatory Improvement Commission the Preliminary Draft of the 'Regulation on health control for the production, research and medicinal use of cannabis and its pharmacological derivatives', as it was ordered by the Supreme Court. This draft aims to address the regulation, control, promotion and sanitary surveillance of raw materials, molecular complexes, pharmacological derivatives and medicines for the production, research and medicinal use of cannabis and its pharmacological derivatives, and includes provisions regarding the import, export, advertising and marketing of cannabis and its pharmacological derivatives.

Initiative for price regulations

The aim of this eventual regulation is to regulate the prices of drugs to ensure proper access. The prices of drugs would be reviewed and evaluated every year or at any time if necessary, based on the economic, technical or therapeutic conditions.

Initiative to remove the renewal of marketing authorisations

There is a proposal to reform the General Health Law to remove the requirement to renew marketing authorisations every five years.

Public Sector Procurement, Leasing and Services Law

The Public Sector Procurement, Leasing and Services Law is currently being analysed and discussed by the Chamber of Deputies of the Mexican Congress. The main objective of the proposed amendments is to include in the law a new comprehensive legal framework to regulate public procurement to fulfill certain commitments by the current government in matters of transparency and to overcome corruption.

However, owing to the health emergency caused by the covid-19 pandemic and the accumulated shortage of various health supplies, recently, the Mexican Congress, as a matter of urgency, approved a Decree amending only one provision of the current Procurement Law.

The amendment provides the Mexican government with faculties to acquire medicines and other health services through international organisations without having to observe the procedures set forth in the applicable Regulations on public procurement. The rationale behind the amendment is to expand the possibilities of acquiring medicines at lower prices, through transparent and efficient processes.

New rules in relation to generics

The Mexican Healthcare Regulatory Agency (COFEPRIS) announced new operating rules to promote the production of generic medicines in Mexico, facilitating its approval, based on the following guidelines:

- COFEPRIS will have a special procedures window for the generic pharmaceutical products;

- these applications for approvals of generics could be filed the day after the granting of the patent related to the innovative medicine;
- the respective applications would be decided at any time prior to the expiration of the patent; if the registration or approval is given, COFEPRIS will provide a provisional official communication, which would be exchanged for the definitive sanitary registration or approval, the day after the expiration or the validity of the patent; and
- COFEPRIS indicates that they are complying with the elimination of the temporality of three years (for chemically synthesised drugs) and eight years (for biotechnological) to research and develop generics or biocomparables, according to the reforms to the Bolar Exception in the new Federal Law for the Protection of Industrial Property, which will enter in force by November 2020.
- taking the corresponding measures to avoid price speculation and stockpiling of the essential products mentioned above; and
- any other measure that is considered necessary by the MOH.

Mexico declares state of emergency

On 31 March 2020, owing to the increase in cases of covid-19, the MOH established the following extraordinary actions:

- the immediate suspension of all activities from 30 March 2020 to 30 April 2020, with the exception of essential activities;
- the activities considered to be essential were:
 - those necessary to attend to the health emergency;
 - those involving public safety;
 - the fundamental sectors of the economy;
 - those directly related to the operation of government social programmes; and
 - those related to critical public services;
- the following practices were imposed:
 - meetings must consist of less than 50 people;
 - people must practice frequent hand washing;
 - people must practice remote greeting; and
 - people must observe sneezing 'etiquette' (ie, under the elbow);
- the entire population in the Mexican territory was invited to stay at home, with the exception of those who participated in essential activities;
- stay-at-home restrictions were strictly applied to people in vulnerable groups, including those who participated in essential activities;
- the return to activities was to be staggered, orderly and under the coordination of the Ministry of Economy and Labour;
- the suspension of censuses and surveys; and
- the MOH determined all the activities that were necessary to attend to the emergency, and the measures were applied with strict observance to human rights.

Coronavirus

29 | What emergency legislation, relief programmes and other initiatives specific to your practice area has been implemented to address the pandemic? Have any existing government programmes, laws or regulations been amended to address these concerns? What best practices are advisable for clients?

First measures adopted to prevent the covid-19 outbreak

One of the first measures adopted to prevent the covid-19 outbreak was the suspension of activities of several federal entities from 18 March 2020, along with the suspension of procedural terms during this period. The first authorities to adopt these measures were the Supreme Court of Justice, circuit courts, district courts, civil and commercial courts and the Federal Court of Administrative Affairs.

On 19 March 2020, COFEPRIS announced the requirements that must be complied with to carry out covid-19 diagnostic tests.

On 23 March 2020, the Mexican Institute of Industrial Property (IMPI) ordered the suspension and interruption of procedural terms from 24 March 2020. The suspension of deadlines did not apply to those procedures that were necessary to mitigate the consequences of the pandemic and those related to the release of preliminary injunctions imposed by IMPI during infringement proceedings. Online new applications were still able to be pre-filed during the suspension.

On 24 March 2020, the President announced that Mexico officially entered phase two of the outbreak of covid-19. New and additional measures to contain the spread and 'flatten the curve' of the transmission of the virus were announced.

On 26 March 2020, the Ministry of Health (MOH) issued a decree suspending the legal terms running from this date until the date of submission of this paper applying to all administrative actions and procedures before the MOH, including COFEPRIS. The MOH specified that they would continue to work on the essential and urgent procedures to deal with the contingency.

On 27 March 2020, the President published a decree in the Federal Gazette, implementing the following extraordinary measures in relation to the outbreak of covid-19:

- the use of all medical resources available in the public and private sectors in the regions and the surrounding areas to help tackle the virus;
- the acquisition of all types of goods and services, at national or international level, such as medical equipment, diagnostic agents, surgical and healing materials and hygiene products, as well as any other type of good or service, without any public tender procedure, for the quantities or concepts that are necessary to face the contingency;
- the import and authorisation of imports of the above-mentioned goods and services with minimal or no administrative procedure requirements, for the required quantities or concepts;

Other emergency initiatives to address the pandemic in Mexico

COFEPRIS has remained open to receive and attend to those procedures that are required to continue with the supply of medical products to respond to the general health needs of the population (not necessarily related to covid-19). Even some temporary sanitary authorisations have been granted for medicines, medical devices and the import of supplies, among others, that are strictly related to the attention of the covid-19 pandemic.

In addition, on 31 March 2020, the Vice Secretary of Health announced that COFEPRIS approved the first four clinical trials in relation to products to treat covid-19:

- remdesivir;
- tocilizumab;
- hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine; and
- azithromycin.

In April 2020, the MOH, through COFEPRIS, published the new 'Guidelines for the acquisition and manufacture of fans during the public health emergency due to the covid-19', to be in force only during the public health emergency related to covid-19.

A few days after phase three of the pandemic was declared in Mexico, in May 2020, COFEPRIS determined that during the health contingency, they would continue to attend to the procedures considered as a priority, which should be submitted through courier services.

In May 2020, in response to covid-19, the Mexican General Health Council (GHC) approved the 'Triage Guide for the Allocation of Critical Medical Resources'. The Guide outlines how decisions should be made if the health emergency generates a demand for critical medical resources that is not possible to satisfy. Examples of critical medical resources mentioned in the guide, which may generate a high demand, are organs for transplantation, critical care beds and mechanical ventilators. A

prioritisation scale was proposed, which gives critical care priority to patients over others depending on a number of factors.

Covid-19 pandemic and compulsory licences in Mexico

The reported numbers of covid-19 cases in Mexico have continued to increase. As we did back in 2009 when Mexico was battling the H1N1 disease, we consider it important to highlight the regulations for compulsory licences in Mexico. Article 77 of the Intellectual Property Law establishes that for emergency or national security reasons, including serious diseases declared as such by the GHC, IMPI will determine that certain patents can be exploited through a compulsory licence for reasons of 'public benefit', when the lack of a licence would hinder or overvalue the production, supply or distribution of basic goods to the population. In cases of serious diseases, for IMPI to make this determination, the GHC must publish a declaration of national emergency in the Official Gazette.

Although the GHC met after several petitions made by various sectors, there is currently still no publication of the declaration of emergency for the covid-19 pandemic in the Official National Gazette, as required by the law.

Despite the fact that covid-19 has been declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization, there is still no universally accepted treatment or cure. If a universally accepted treatment does become available for covid-19 and if it is protected by a patent, we hope that the treatment will not be in short supply for various reasons such as compassionate use licences, pandemic pricing, public and private cooperation and negotiations, free licences and the relinquishment of rights for public benefit. Therefore, the desired outcome is that the conditions for compulsory licences will never be met, as this would mean that we, as a country or as humankind, will have defeated the virus.



Alejandro Luna Fandiño

alejandroluna@olivares.mx

Ingrid Ortiz

ingrid.ortiz@olivares.mx

Pedro Luis Ogazón 17

Col San Angel

Mexico City 01000

Mexico

Tel: +52 55 5322 3000

Fax: +52 55 5322 3001

www.olivares.mx